

---

**Analysis IV**  
Spring 2011  
Exercises 2 / Answers

---

- (1) Let  $\ell^1$  be the set of those infinite sequences  $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  with  $x_n \in \mathbb{C}$  which satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| < \infty.$$

Show that  $\ell^1$  equipped with addition  $x+y = \{x_1+y_1, x_2+y_2, \dots\}$  and scalar multiplication  $\alpha x = \{\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2, \dots\}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

\* \* \*

The same thing as last week: Let  $x, y$  and  $z$  be in  $\ell^1$ , where  $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  and  $y$  and  $z$  likewise. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- (a) Addition is commutative, since

$$\begin{aligned} x + y &= \{x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots\} \\ &= \{y_1 + x_1, y_2 + x_2, \dots\} = y + x, \end{aligned}$$

and it is associative<sup>1</sup>, since

$$\begin{aligned} x + (y + z) &= x + \{y_1 + z_1, y_2 + z_2, \dots\} \\ &= \{x_1 + y_1 + z_1, x_2 + y_2 + z_2, \dots\} \\ &= \{x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots\} + z = (x + y) + z. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) There is a unique zero element,  $0 = \{0, 0, \dots\}$ , since for any  $x \in \ell^1$ ,

$$x + 0 = \{x_1 + 0, x_2 + 0, \dots\} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} = x.$$

- (c) For each  $x \in \ell^1$  there is an inverse element  $-x$ , defined by

$$-x = \{-x_1, -x_2, \dots\},$$

since

$$x + (-x) = \{x_1 - x_1, x_2 - x_2, \dots\} = \{0, 0, \dots\} = 0.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>A random bit of motivation. Many operations are associative, but not all. For the normal multiplication of real numbers we have  $a(bc) = (ab)c$ , but for their division we most certainly don't have  $a/(b/c) = (a/b)/c$ .

Also, this  $-x$  is in the space  $\ell^1$ , since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |-x_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| < \infty.$$

(d) Clearly  $1 \cdot x = x$ , since

$$1 \cdot x = \{1 \cdot x_1, 1 \cdot x_2, \dots\}.$$

Likewise,  $\alpha(\beta x) = (\alpha\beta)x$ .

(e) Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x + y) &= \alpha(\{x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots\}) \\ &= \{\alpha(x_1 + y_1), \alpha(x_2 + y_2), \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\alpha x + \alpha y = \{\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2, \dots\} + \{\alpha y_1, \alpha y_2, \dots\},$$

which are the same. Likewise with  $(\alpha + \beta)x = \alpha x + \beta x$ .

(2) Prove Theorem 1.13 (b) and (c).

\* \* \*

**Theorem 1.13** Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is a convergent sequence (with a limit  $x$ ) in a metric space  $(M, d)$ . Then:

- (b) any subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  also converges to  $x$ ,
- (c)  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof:* (b) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\{x_{n_j}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ . Because  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$ , there is a  $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N_\epsilon$ . Because  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  is a subsequence of  $x_n$ , there is a  $j_N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_j > N$  for all  $j > j_N$ , and, consequently,  $d(x_{n_j}, x) < \epsilon$ .

(c) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$ , there is a  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$d(x_n, x) < \epsilon/2$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . Then, for all  $n, m \geq N$ , we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq d(x_n, x) + d(x, x_m) \leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon.$$

(3) Show that the function  $d : \ell^1 \times \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$d(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|$$

is a metric. (When we are talking of  $\ell^1$  or some other sequences, the following four notations all mean the same, and are used somewhat randomly:  $x$ ,  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ .)

\* \* \*

A function  $d$  is a metric, if the following four properties hold:

- (a)  $d(x, y) \geq 0$
- (b)  $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$
- (c)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- (d)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  (the triangle inequality)

For our function  $d$ , the properties are rather obvious —

- (a)  $d(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|$ , which as a sum of non-negative terms is also non-negative.
- (b) If  $d(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n| = 0$ , then  $|x_n - y_n| = 0$  for every  $n$ , because the terms of the sum are all non-negative. This is possible only when  $x_n = y_n$  for every  $n$ , that is, when  $\{x_n\} = \{y_n\}$ . The converse is obvious.
- (c)  $d(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n - x_n| = d(\{y_n\}, \{x_n\})$  by the same property of the common absolute value.
- (d) For any  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - z_n| &= |x_n - y_n + y_n - z_n| \\ &\leq |x_n - y_n| + |y_n - z_n|. \end{aligned}$$

The triangle inequality follows by induction.

- (4) Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a Cauchy sequence in the metric space  $(M, d)$ . Prove that there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B_d(x_1, R)$ .

\* \* \*

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, there is a  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) < 1$  for all  $m, n \geq N_1$ , and especially

$$d(x_{N_1}, x_m) < 1$$

for all  $m \geq N_1$ . We now set  $M_1 := d(x_1, x_{N_1}) + 1$ , and note that by the triangle inequality, for all  $n \geq N_1$ , we have

$$d(x_1, x_n) \leq d(x_1, x_{N_1}) + d(x_{N_1}, x_n) < d(x_1, x_{N_1}) + 1 = M_1.$$

Since there are  $N_1 - 1$  points in the sequence before  $x_{N_1}$ , we have

$$M_2 := \max_{1 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1} d(x_1, x_n) < \infty.$$

Thus, for all points  $x_n$ , the following holds:

$$d(x_1, x_n) < \max(M_1, M_2).$$

This is the same as saying that all points  $x_n$  are in a  $x_1$ -centric ball of radius  $R := \max(M_1, M_2)$ , or  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B_d(x_1, R)$ .

- (5) Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in the metric space  $(M, d)$ . Prove: If the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  has a subsequence which converges to  $a \in M$ , then  $\{a_n\}$  converges to  $a$ .

\* \* \*

Let  $\{a_{n_j}\}$  be the convergent subsequence of  $\{a_n\}$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We will now show that there is a  $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(a_n, a) < \epsilon$$

for all  $n \geq N_\epsilon$ .

Since  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, there is a  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(a_n, a_m) < \epsilon/2$  when  $n, m \geq N_2$ .

Since  $\{a_{n_j}\}$  converges to  $a$ , there is a  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_{N_1} \in \{a_{n_j}\}$ ,  $d(a_{N_1}, a) < \epsilon/2$  and  $N_1 \geq N_2$ .

Thus, for any  $n > N =: \max(N_1, N_2)$ , we have by the triangle inequality that

$$d(a_n, a) \leq d(a_n, a_{N_1}) + d(a_{N_1}, a) \leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon.$$