## Analysis IV Spring 2011 Exercises 5 / Answer

(1) Let  $\Omega$  be a arbitrary set. Let f be a function from all subsets of  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , defined as

$$f(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset, \text{ and} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that f is monotone and subadditive, that is,

- a) if  $A \subset B \subset \Omega$  then  $f(A) \leq f(B)$ , and
- b) for any  $A_i \subset \Omega$  it holds that  $f(\bigcup_i A_i) \leq \sum_i f(A_i)$ .

Monotonicity: If  $A \subset B$  then  $f(A) \leq f(B)$ .

- If  $B = \emptyset$  then  $A = \emptyset$  and f(A) = 0 = f(B).
- If  $B \neq \emptyset$  then f(B) = 1, and  $f(A) \leq 1 = f(B)$  for all A.
- **Subadditivity**: For any  $A_i$  it holds that  $f(\bigcup_i A_i) \leq \sum_i f(A_i)$ .
  - If  $\bigcup_i A_i = \emptyset$ , then  $A_i = \emptyset$  for each *i*. As  $0 \le 0$ , the condition holds.
  - If  $\bigcup_i A_i \neq \emptyset$ , the  $A_i \neq \emptyset$  for at least one *i*, and so  $f(\bigcup_i A_i) = 1 \leq \sum_i f(A_i)$ .

If this course was about more general measure theory and not just the measure theory of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we would define the general outer measure to be any function from sets to real numbers that was monotone, subadditive and mapped the empty set to zero — that is, the function f of this problem is a general outer measure.

(2) Let f be a function, and let us define f<sup>+</sup>(x) = max{0, f(x)} and f<sup>-</sup>(x) = max{0, -f(x)}. Show that
a) f<sup>+</sup>(x) - f<sup>-</sup>(x) = f(x),
b) f<sup>+</sup>(x) + f<sup>-</sup>(x) = |f(x)| and
c) <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>(|f| + f) = f<sup>+</sup>.

\* \* \*

Simple calculation:

$$f^{+}(x) - f^{-}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) - 0 & \text{if } f(x) \ge 0\\ 0 - (-f(x)) & \text{if } f(x) < 0 \end{cases} = f(x).$$

Also,

$$f^{+}(x) + f^{-}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) + 0 & \text{if } f(x) \ge 0\\ 0 + (-f(x)) & \text{if } f(x) < 0 \end{cases} = |f(x)|.$$

By adding the previous two results, we get

$$2f^{+}(x) - f^{-}(x) + f^{-}(x) = f(x) + |f(x)|,$$

which gives the third once we divide by two.

(3) Let A be a non-measurable set. Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x \in A\\ -1 \text{ if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Is f measurable? How about |f|?

The function f is measurable if  $\{x \mid f(x) > \alpha\}$  is a measurable set for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . We choose  $\alpha = 0$ . Then

\* \* \*

$$\{x \mid f(x) > 0\} = A_{i}$$

and as this is not a measurable set, f is not a measurable function.

The absolute value of f is define as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x \in A \\ |-1| \text{ if } x \notin A \end{cases} = 1.$$

and as  $\{x \mid |f(x)| > \alpha\}$  is, depending on the value of  $\alpha$ , always either  $\emptyset$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ , which both are measurable sets, we know |f| is a measurable function.

(4) In the lectures, we asserted that

$$\{x \in E \mid f(x) \ge \alpha\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) > \alpha - 1/n\}.$$

Prove it.

\* \* \*

This is the easiest to show by showing both sides are a subset of the other.

"⊂" Let  $x \in E$  belong to the left-hand side set, that is, let  $f(x) \ge \alpha$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary (*mielivaltainen*). Then

$$f(x) \ge \alpha > \alpha - 1/n.$$

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Thus  $x \in \{x \in E \mid f(x) > \alpha - 1/n\}$  for every *n*, and so *x* is also in their intersection.

"⊃" Let  $x \in E$  belong to the right-hand side set, that is, let  $f(x) > \alpha - 1/n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Does this mean that  $f(x) \ge \alpha$ ? Let us assume for absolute clarity's sake (*rautalangasta vään-tääksemme*) that  $f(x) = \alpha - h$  instead, for some h > 0. In that case we can still choose n so that n > 1/h and get

$$\alpha - 1/n > \alpha - h,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $f(x) \ge \alpha$ , and x is in the left-hand side set.

Because the left-hand side and the right-hand side sets are subsets of each other, they are exactly the same set.

(The proof of

$$\{x \in E \mid f(x) \le \alpha\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) < \alpha + 1/n\}$$

is very similar.)

(5) In the lectures, we asserted that

$$\{x \in E \mid (f+g)(x) < \alpha\} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \{x \in E \mid f(x) < r\} \cap \{x \in E \mid \alpha - g(x) > r\}$$

Prove it.

This is done the same way as the previous exercise.

" $\subset$ " Let  $x \in E$  so that  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) < \alpha$ . Then  $f(x) < \alpha - g(x)$ . Now x is in the right-hand side set if there exists a rational number r so that f(x) < r and  $r < \alpha - g(x)$ . As  $f(x) \neq \alpha - g(x)$  they values f(x) and  $\alpha - g(x)$  are distinct real numbers, and we know we can always find some rational number r between them.

" $\supset$ " Let  $x \in E$  so that for some rational number r the following holds: f(x) < r and  $r < \alpha - g(x)$ . Then clearly  $f(x) < \alpha - g(x)$ , or  $f(x) + g(x) < \alpha$ , so x is in the left-hand side set.