

Answers to Exercise 7

I.

Proof. Step 1. We consider simple function:

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \chi_{E_j}(x),$$

$\{E_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are disjoint measurable sets, then

$$\int_E \phi dm = \int \phi \chi_E dm = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j m(E_j \cap E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n a_j m(E) = 0,$$

now

$$\phi \chi_E(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \chi_{E_j \cap E}(x).$$

Step 2. $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable function, then

$$\int_E f dm = \sup \left\{ \int_E \phi dm \mid \phi \text{ simple and } \phi \leq f \right\} = 0.$$

Step 3. From step 2, for any function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$, we can get

$$\int_E f dm = \int_E f^+ dm - \int_E f^- dm = 0.$$

II.

Proof. Denote $C_f = \inf\{b_1 \mid |f(x)| \leq b_1, a.e.\}$, and $C_g = \inf\{b_2 \mid |g(x)| \leq b_2, a.e.\}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $E_1 \in \mathcal{M}$, s.t. $|f(x)| \leq C_f + \varepsilon$, for any $x \in E_1$, $m(\mathbb{R} \setminus E_1) = 0$, and there also exists $E_2 \in \mathcal{M}$, s.t. $|g(x)| \leq C_g + \varepsilon$, for any $x \in E_2$, $m(\mathbb{R} \setminus E_2) = 0$.

Now by the Δ -inequality, for any $x \in E_1 \cap E_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)| &\leq |\alpha| |f(x)| + |\beta| |g(x)| = |\alpha|(|f(x)| - \varepsilon) + |\beta|(|g(x)| - \varepsilon) + (|\alpha|\varepsilon + |\beta|\varepsilon) \\ &\leq |\alpha|C_f + |\beta|C_g + (|\alpha| + |\beta|)\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and since $m(\mathbb{R} \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2)) = m(\mathbb{R} \setminus E_1 \cup \mathbb{R} \setminus E_2) \leq m(\mathbb{R} \setminus E_1) + m(\mathbb{R} \setminus E_2) = 0$, we take the infimum on both sides of the above inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf\{b \mid |\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)| \leq b, a.e.\} \\ &\leq |\alpha| \inf\{b_1 \mid |f(x)| \leq b_1, a.e.\} + |\beta| \inf\{b_2 \mid |g(x)| \leq b_2, a.e.\} + \inf\{(|\alpha| + |\beta|)\varepsilon\} \\ &= |\alpha| \inf\{b_1 \mid |f(x)| \leq b_1, a.e.\} + |\beta| \inf\{b_2 \mid |g(x)| \leq b_2, a.e.\} \end{aligned}$$

III.

Proof. $d_{L^\infty} : L^\infty \times L^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for any two functions f, g , $d_{L^\infty}(f, g) = \text{ess sup } |f - g|$;

1. $d_{L^\infty}(f, g) = \text{ess sup } |f - g| \geq 0$;
2. $d_{L^\infty}(f, g) = \text{ess sup } |f - g| = \inf\{b \mid |f(x) - g(x)| \leq b, a.e.\} = 0 \iff |f(x) - g(x)| = 0, a.e. \iff f(x) = g(x), a.e.$;
3. $d_{L^\infty}(f, g) = \text{ess sup } |f - g| = \inf\{b \mid |f(x) - g(x)| \leq b, a.e.\} = \inf\{b \mid |g(x) - f(x)| \leq b, a.e.\} = \text{ess sup } |g - f| = d_{L^\infty}(g, f)$;
4. by question No.2 proved above, let $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$, for any other function $h \in L^\infty$,
 $d_{L^\infty}(f, g) = \inf\{b \mid |f - g| \leq b, a.e.\} = \inf\{b \mid |(f - h) + (h - g)| \leq b, a.e.\} \leq \inf\{b_1 \mid |f - h| \leq b_1, a.e.\} + \inf\{b_2 \mid |h - g| \leq b_2, a.e.\} = d_{L^\infty}(f, h) + d_{L^\infty}(h, g)$.

Thus, d_{L^∞} is indeed a metric.

IV.

Proof. If $ab = 0$, it is trivial; if $ab > 0$, let's consider function $f(x) = \log x$, $x > 0$. Since $f'(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is decreasing, we know that $f(x) = \log x$ is concave function. In fact, suppose $0 < x < u < y$, apply mean value theorem for differentiation to find r and s with $0 < x < r < u < s < y$, such that

$$f'(r) = \frac{f(u) - f(x)}{u - x} = \frac{\log u - \log x}{u - x},$$

and

$$f'(s) = \frac{f(y) - f(u)}{y - u} = \frac{\log y - \log u}{y - u}.$$

Since $f'(x)$ is decreasing, $f'(r) \geq f'(s)$, this gives

$$\frac{\log u - \log x}{u - x} \geq \frac{\log y - \log u}{y - u},$$

whenever $0 < x < u < y$. In particular, by letting $u = (1 - t)x + ty$, where $0 < t < 1$,

$$\frac{\log u - \log x}{t(y - x)} \geq \frac{\log y - \log u}{(1 - t)(y - x)},$$

hence

$$(1 - t)(\log u - \log x) \geq t(\log y - \log u),$$

put it in another way,

$$\log u = \log((1 - t)x + ty) \geq (1 - t)\log x + t\log y.$$

So, $\forall a, b \geq 0$, and $0 < \lambda < 1$,

$$\lambda \log a + (1 - \lambda)\log b \leq \log(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b),$$

which equals to

$$\log a^\lambda b^{1-\lambda} \leq \log(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b).$$

Since $\log x$ itself is increasing, $a^\lambda b^{1-\lambda} \leq \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b$.

V.

Proof. Suppose for a while $0 < M < +\infty$, otherwise is trivial. If $m(E) = +\infty$, the inequality holds; if $m(E) < +\infty$, take $\phi(x) = M\chi_E(x)$ a simple function, then $|f\chi_E| \leq \phi$. Further, $\int \phi dm = Mm(E) < +\infty$, by theorem 2.26 (c) and (b'), $|\int f\chi_E dm| \leq \int |f\chi_E| dm \leq \int \phi dm = Mm(E)$.