

Improving the information base and optimising service solutions to support social welfare & healthcare reform





# Web-tool for optimizing locations of health centers

Pasi Fränti

University of Eastern Finland Joensuu, FINLAND



10.11.2022





UEF // MACHINE LEARNING





#### **STEMI case study**

- 22 Health centers (HC)
- 17346 patients (ICD10 codes I21.0-I21.3)
- Patient locations (postal code precision)
- Red patients do not reach HC in time.
- Fast travel-time estimation (almost ready)



## Who is at Risk (90 min)?

Infarctions are serious and life threatening.

A patient not within **90** minutes of a hospital is considered at Risk.





## Tighter bound (45 min)

Infarctions are serious and life threatening.

A patient not within **45** minutes of a hospital is considered at Risk.





## Web-tool for optimizing



## Control parameters

#### Parameter choices in the tool :



#### **Optimization goal:**

Optimized Risk 90 min --- Health Centers ---**Original locations** Remove two University hospitals --- Optimized Locations ---Optimized Distance (Bird) Optimized Distance (Road) **Optimized Time** Optimized Risk 30 min Optimized Risk 45 min Optimized Risk 60 min Optimized Risk 75 min

**Optimized Risk 90 min** 



#### Critical time: 30 minutes





#### Critical time: 45 minutes



# i IMPRO

#### Effect when removing HC

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### University hospital clusters

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Road infrastructure supports furthest reach

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

Patients nearest **H** Is not always the best choice

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Optimization Procedure**

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Random Swap Algorithm

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fast Travel-Time Estimation

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

**Objective function** 

P. Fränti Efficiency of random swap clustering *Journal of Big Data*, 2018.

R. Mariescu-Istodor and P. Fränti Estimating travel-cost using an Overhead Graph Journal of Location-based Services, 2021.

#### K-Means

Randomly choose **K** initial centers  $\mathbf{C} = \{c_1, \ldots, c_K\}$ REPEAT  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{previous}} \leftarrow \mathbf{C}$ FOR all i  $\in [1, N]$  DO // Partitioning label(i)  $\leftarrow$  arg min d(p<sub>i</sub>, c<sub>j</sub>) FOR all j  $\in [1, k]$  DO // Centroid update  $c_j \leftarrow$  Average of p<sub>i</sub>, whose label(i) = j UNTIL  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}_{\text{previous}}$ 

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

## K-Means: Partitioning

K-Means (**P**, **K**)  $\rightarrow$  (**C**, **L**) Input : points **P** = {p<sub>1</sub>,...,p<sub>N</sub>} the number of clusters **K** Output: cluster centers **C** = {c<sub>1</sub>,...,c<sub>K</sub>} cluster labels **L** = {label(i), i=1,...,N} Randomly choose **K** initial centers **C** = {c<sub>1</sub>,...,c<sub>K</sub>} REPEAT **C**<sub>previous</sub>  $\leftarrow$  **C** FOR all i  $\in$  [1, N] DO // Partitioning label(i)  $\leftarrow$  arg min d(p<sub>i</sub>, c<sub>j</sub>) FOR all j  $\in$  [1, k] DO // Centroid update c<sub>i</sub>  $\leftarrow$  Average of p<sub>i</sub>, whose label(i) = j

UNTIL **C** = **C**<sub>previous</sub>

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Estimating travel using **Overhead Graphs**

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Overhead Graph: Node density

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

512 nodes

#### Two cost functions

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Experiments

### Euclidean distance

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Travel time

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Optimization results

#### **Current Locations**

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Optimized Locations** 

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Summary of results

	Bird Distance	Travel Distance	Travel Time	At Risk
Original HC Locations	29.0 km	36.6 km	35.3 min	832 (5 %)
Optimization Function				
<b>Bird</b> Distance or time (fixed speed)	27.9 km	36.1 km	36.2 min	792 (5 %)
Travel Distance	28.4 km	34.7 km	34.1 min	519 (3 %)
Travel Time	29.8 km	36.8 km	34.0 min	488 (3 %)
Sigmoid (Travel Time)	44.3 km	54.9 km	48.6 min	135 (1 %)

• Travel times and distances are estimates using the overhead graph

## Statistics: Hospitals removed

Total patients: 17,346		Average Travel			
Test-case	At Pick*	Time	Distance	Hospitals in Range	
				1	2+
All Hospitals	5,401 (31%)	73 min	79 km	51 %	18 %
Two Removed**	5,775 (33 %)	75 min	82 km	49 %	18 %
University hospitals	11,570 (67 %)	121 min	142 km	33 %	0

\* Round trip to nearest hospital > 90 minutes

\*\* All Hospitals except Savonlinna and Länsipohja

## Changes in detail

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Optimized using Euclidean distance**

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Optimized using travel time**

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Optimized for travel time**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Conclusions

- Web-tool for optimizing health center locations
- Support: Euclidean, travel distance, travel time, patients at risk
- Optimizing for patients at risk increases average time.

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)