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## Q2.1: Quilt entropy

The colored quilt below can be thought of as a random variable taking one of three possible values in each cell Let us ignore any possible dependencies between the cells

Compute the corresponding entropy


## Q2.2: Quilt entropies



Associate each quilt to its entropy

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H(A)=? & H(B)=? \\
H(C)=? & H(D)=? \\
H(R)=? & H(S)=? \\
H(T)=? & H(U)=? \\
H(W)=? & H(X)=? \\
H(Y)=? & H(Z)=?
\end{array}
$$

## Q2.3: Conditional quilts

Let us consider the pairs of values appearing in corresponding positions of two quilts

Compute the corresponding joint entropy, conditional entropies and mutual information


## Q2.4: Quilt inequalities (i)



Fill in the following (in)equalities


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H(A, B)=2.078 & H(A \mid B)=? \\
I(A ; B)=? & H(B \mid A)=? \\
I(A ; C) ? H(A, C) & H(A \mid C) ? H(C \mid A)
\end{array}
$$



## Q2.4: Quilt inequalities (ii)



Fill in the following (in)equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(X \mid Y)=? \quad I(X ; Y)=? \quad H(X \mid Z) ? H(Z \mid Y) \\
& H(X, Y, Z) ? H(X, Z) \quad H(X, Y, Z) ? H(Z \mid X, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Q2.5: (De)code (i)

Consider the code $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and bitstring $S=0010111011000110$

|  | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ | 11 | 10 | 01 | 001 | 010 | 0010 |

What can you say about the following statements?
$\square$ The bitstring can be decoded uniquely with this code
$\square$ This is a prefix code
$\square$ There exists a prefix code with these code lengths

## Q2.5: (De)code (ii)

Consider the code $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ and bitstring $S=0010111011000110$

|  | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ | 00 | 01 | 10 | 110 | 111 | 1001 |

What can you say about the following statements?
$\square$ The bitstring can be decoded uniquely with this code
$\square$ This is a prefix code
$\square$ There exists a prefix code with these code lengths

## Q2.5: (De)code (iii)

Consider the code $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ and bitstring $S=0010111011000110$

|  | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ | 11 | 10 | 01 | 001 | 0110 | 0101 |

What can you say about the following statements?
$\square$ The bitstring can be decoded uniquely with this code
$\square$ This is a prefix code
$\square$ There exists a prefix code with these code lengths

## Q2.5: (De)code (iv)

Consider the code $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ and bitstring $S=0010111011000110$

|  | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ | 00 | 01 | 110 | 111 | 101 | 1000 |

What can you say about the following statements?
$\square$ The bitstring can be decoded uniquely with this code
$\square$ This is a prefix code
$\square$ There exists a prefix code with these code lengths

## Q2.6: (Re)code (i)

Consider the following message: badebfadebcdfabdefbcfcbc and the two codes $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{4}$

|  | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ | 11 | 10 | 01 | 001 | 0110 | 0101 |
| $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ | 00 | 01 | 110 | 111 | 101 | 1000 |

What is the code length of this message, encoded with either of the two codes?

## Q2.6: (Re)code (ii)

Consider the following message: badebfadebcdfabdefbcfcbc and the two $\operatorname{codes} \mathcal{C}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{4}$

|  | a | b | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ | $f$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ | 11 | 10 | 01 | 001 | 0110 | 0101 |
| $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ | 00 | 01 | 110 | 111 | 101 | 1000 |
| nb. OCCS | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |

Can you design a better code?

## Q2.6: (Re)code (iii)

Consider the following message: badebfadebcdfabdefbcfcbc

|  | a | b | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ | $f$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nb. occs | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |

What is the theoretical optimal code length for this message?

## Q2.7: (Trans)code (i)

Consider this transactional dataset, D
Let us ignore transaction delimiters
and consider ideal, not practical, codes
Let us encode the transactions
by assigning a codeword to each
distinct item, based on its frequency
We call this model $M_{0}$

| tid | transaction |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(1)$ | b |
| $(2)$ | a d e |
| $(3)$ | b f |
| $(4)$ | a d e |
| $(5)$ | $\mathrm{b} ~ \mathrm{c} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{f}$ |
| $(6)$ | a b d e f |
| $(7)$ | b c f |
| $(8)$ | c |
| $(9)$ | b c |

What is the code length of the dataset encoded with $M_{0}$ ?

## Q2.7: (Trans)code (ii)

| Consider this transactional dataset, D | tid | transaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Let us ignore transaction delimiters | (1) | b |
| and consider ideal, not practical, codes | (2) | ade |
|  | (3) | $b f$ |
| Let us encode the transactions | (4) | a de |
| by assigning a codeword to | (5) | $b c d f$ |
| each distinct entire transaction, | (6) | ab |
| based on its frequency | (7) (8) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { b c f } \\ & \text { c } \end{aligned}$ |
| We call this model $M_{1}$ | (9) | b c |

What is the code length of the dataset encoded with $M_{1}$ ?

## Q2.7: (Trans)code (iii)

Consider this transactional dataset, $D$ Let us ignore transaction delimiters and consider ideal, not practical, codes
Let us encode the transactions by assigning codewords to itemsets $\{$ ade, $b f, b, c, d\}$, based on their frequency We call this model $M_{2}$

| tid | transaction |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(1)$ | b |
| $(2)$ | a d e |
| $(3)$ | b f |
| $(4)$ | a d e |
| $(5)$ | b c d f |
| $(6)$ | a b d e f |
| $(7)$ | b c f |
| $(8)$ | c |
| $(9)$ | b c |

What is the code length of the dataset encoded with $M_{2}$ ?

## Q2.7: (Trans)code (iv)

Which is the best model for the dataset $D$ ?

