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# BACKGROUND: BOOLEAN MATRIX FACTORIZATIONS 

- Given a binary matrix $\mathbf{X}$ and a positive integer $R$, find two binary matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ such that $\mathbf{A}$ has $R$ columns and $\mathbf{B}$ has $R$ rows and $\mathbf{X} \approx \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}$.
- $\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}$ is the Boolean matrix product of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$,

$$
(\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B})_{\mathfrak{i j}}=\bigvee_{r=1}^{R} b_{i l} c_{l j}
$$

## BOOLEANTENSOR FACTORIZATIONS:THE IDEA

I. Take existing (normal) tensor factorization
2. Make everything binary and define summation as $1+1=1$
3. Try to understand what you just did.

Research problem. What can we say about Boolean tensor factorizations and how do they relate to normal tensor factorizations and Boolean matrix factorizations?
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$$
x_{i j k} \approx \sum_{r=1}^{R} a_{i r} b_{j r} c_{k r}
$$
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# DIGRESSION: FREQUENTTRIITEMSET MINING 

- Rank-I N-way binary tensors define an N-way itemset
- Particularly, rank-I binary matrices define an itemset
- In itemset mining the induced sub-tensor must be full of Is
- Here, the items can have holes
- Boolean CP decomposition = lossy N-way tiling


## TENSOR RANK

The rank of a tensor is the minimum number of rank- 1 tensors needed to represent the tensor exactly.


## BOOLEANTENSOR RANK

The Boolean rank of a binary tensor is the minimum number of binary rank-I tensors needed to represent the tensor exactly using Boolean arithmetic.


IIIUII

## SOME RESULTS ON RANKS

- Normal tensor rank is NPhard to compute
- Normal tensor rank of $n$-by-m-by- $k$ tensor can be more than $\min \{n, m, k\}$
- But no more than $\min \{n m, n k, m k\}$


## SOME RESULTS ON RANKS

- Normal tensor rank is NPhard to compute
- Normal tensor rank of $n$-by-m-by-k tensor can be more than $\min \{n, m, k\}$
- But no more than $\min \{n m, n k, m k\}$
- Boolean tensor rank is NP-hard to compute


## SOME RESULTS ON RANKS

- Normal tensor rank is NPhard to compute
- Normal tensor rank of $n$-by-m-by-k tensor can be more than $\min \{n, m, k\}$
- Boolean tensor rank is NP-hard to compute
- Boolean tensor rank of $n$-by-m-by-k tensor can be more than $\min \{n, m, k\}$
- But no more than $\min \{n m, n k, m k\}$


## sOME RESULTS ON RANKS

- Normal tensor rank is NPhard to compute
- Normal tensor rank of $n$-by-m-by-k tensor can be more than $\min \{n, m, k\}$
- But no more than $\min \{n m, n k, m k\}$
- Boolean tensor rank is NP-hard to compute
- Boolean tensor rank of $n$-by-m-by-k tensor can be more than $\min \{n, m, k\}$
- But no more than $\min \{n m, n k, m k\}$


## SPARSITY

- Binary matrix $\mathbf{X}$ of Boolean rank $R$ and $|\mathbf{X}|$ Is has Boolean rank- $R$ decomposition $\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}$ such that $|\mathbf{A}|+|\mathbf{B}| \leq 2|\mathbf{X}|$ [M., ICDM 'IO]
- Binary $N$-way tensor $\boldsymbol{X}$ of Boolean tensor rank $R$ has Boolean rank-R CP-decomposition with factor matrices $\mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{A}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_{N}$ such that $\sum_{i}\left|\mathbf{A}_{i}\right| \leq N|\mathcal{X}|$
- Both results are existential only and extend to approximate decompositions


# THETUCKERTENSOR DECOMPOSITION 



$$
x_{i j k} \approx \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{r=1}^{R} g_{p q r} a_{i p} b_{j q} c_{k r}
$$
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## THE ALGORITHMS

- The normal CP-decomposition can be solved using matricization and ALS
- $\odot$ is the Khatri-Rao matrix product
- $(\mathbf{C} \odot \mathbf{B})^{\top}$ is R-by-mk
- For normal matrices, we can use standard leastsquares projections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{X}_{(1)}=\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{C} \odot \mathbf{B})^{\top} \\
& \mathbf{X}_{(2)}=\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C} \odot \mathbf{A})^{\top} \\
& \mathbf{X}_{(3)}=\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{B} \odot \boldsymbol{A})^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

- One projection per mode
- Similar algorithms for the Tucker decomposition


## THE ALGORITHMS

- For Boolean case, matrix product must be changed
- Khatri-Rao stays as it
- Finding the optimal projection is NP-hard even to approximate
$\mathbf{X}_{(1)}=\mathbf{A} \circ(\mathbf{C} \odot \mathbf{B})^{\mathrm{T}}$
$\mathbf{X}_{(2)}=\mathbf{B} \circ(\mathbf{C} \odot \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathrm{T}}$
$\mathbf{X}_{(3)}=\mathbf{C} \circ(\mathbf{B} \odot \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathbf{T}}$
- Good initial values are needed due to multiple local minima
- Obtained using Boolean matrix factorization to matricizations


## THETUCKER CASE

- The core tensor has global effects
- Updates are hard
- Core tensor is usually small
- We can afford more time per element

$$
x_{i j k} \approx \bigvee_{p=1}^{P} \bigvee_{q=1}^{Q} \bigvee_{r=1}^{R} g_{p q r} a_{i p} b_{j q} c_{k r}
$$

- In Boolean case many changes make no difference


## SYNTHETIC EXPERIMENTS
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## REAL-WORLD EXPERIMENTS
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## CONCLUSIONS

- Boolean tensor decompositions are a bit like normal tensor decompositions
- And a bit like Boolean matrix factorizations
- They generalize other data mining techniques in many ways
- The playing field between Boolean and normal tensor factorizations is more level
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